

Talking to people about the Globalist Threat



TALKING TO PEOPLE ABOUT THE GLOBALIST THREAT

The “Conspiracy Theorists” have been right all along and we are being marched towards totalitarianism by the Globalists and Communists and all their helpers. But It is no good sitting around thinking all is lost. If we value our freedoms we have to fight for them. Besides, it feels so much better to get up and do something about a problem, rather than mope about and let it get us down.

There are many little things that we all can do to fight our cowardly enemies. I cover these in my document: “The Globalists are in control. What can we do about it?”

Briefly, they are:

- We need to stop complying. Don't wear a mask. Don't let them Vax you.
- We need to stop pretending that everything is normal.

- We need to be prepared to forgo our pleasures rather than comply.
- We need to act locally. Show that people everywhere are opposed to them.
- We need to stop hiding. We need to declare ourselves and talk to people about it.

We need to get around their censorship of the media and internet by communicating directly with other people:

- Let your neighbour know that you are opposed to Vax passports.
- Don't hide behind an alias on social media.
- Let the pro-totalitarians know that real people are against them.
- Let them see that we are brave enough to fight for our rights.
- Wear a T-shirt with a message.
- Distribute flyers advertising your opposition to the globalist agenda.
- Put your name on the flyers so people know they have been done by a real person.
- Put a bumper sticker on your car or a message in the back windscreen.
- Put up posters on empty shops in town centres and other suitable places.

And most importantly,

We need to talk to people about it.

Even if it is just talking to your family or work colleagues it is important that they know you are against the agenda. And if you have decided you don't want the Covid jab it is important that people know this. Then they will be faced with the choice of to either be complicit in any punitive measures that are taken against you in the future or to stand with you against the totalitarians.

But, if you are brave enough and ready to do more to take a stand against the Globalists, I would encourage you to start engaging with the general public if you haven't already done so. If you have never done it before, then the following chapters will, I hope, help to make it as painless, rewarding, and effective as possible for you.

Talking to people in the street

It requires bravery to come out of hiding and declare yourself. Especially when you know you are in a minority and people are being encouraged, even by our own government, to view people who oppose the Globalist agenda as dirty “anti-vaxxers”.

But it must be done. People are being brainwashed into believing all sorts of harmful nonsense that is deliberately dividing people and destroying Society. If we do not act we will find ourselves living in an Orwellian Nightmare within a very short time.

At the time of writing this, although our rights and freedoms are being removed from us one by one, we still have many freedoms left to us. We must use those freedoms while we can.

One of these freedoms is to be able to approach people we don't know in the street and offer them leaflets and talk to them face to face. The people we approach have the freedom to ignore us, be rude to us, and even insult us. For both sides, these are great freedoms to enjoy. And I celebrate them. Crucially, this freedom to engage people in the street allows us the leverage to loosen the Globalists' grip on the hearts and minds of people. This is a key weapon in our fight against them and we can all use it. It will make a huge difference when we do.

I have started doing this a lot recently. I have made my own flyers and I pick a place where there are shops and cafes open and people about and I walk up to passers-by and offer them one of my leaflets. It was scary at first. But I am finding my fears were ungrounded. While handing out my flyers and talking to people about the Covid vaccines and Vax Passports and the encroachment on our freedoms they represent I have never been physically attacked or threatened. And I haven't been arrested for it. So from my experience it is definitely a safe thing to do. And I would guess it is just as safe, if not a lot safer, than going to a mass demonstration.

It is also a fun thing to do. I find that I enjoy it. It is very rewarding. I have had many worthwhile and enjoyable conversations with people that I wouldn't

otherwise have talked to. Even when I am talking to someone who doesn't agree with me, I can still be enjoying an interesting and stimulating discussion. All it requires is that we each respect the other person's point of view.

I actually find that it is easier talking to strangers about this difficult subject than it is talking to family members. When talking to family, there is a lot of emotional baggage around. It is hard for everyone to keep their emotions under control while disagreeing. I find it much easier to stay calm and detached when talking to strangers in public.

Every time you offer a flyer to someone, you can never be sure how they are going to react. More often than not you are brushed away or ignored. Sometimes the other person will pick up straight away that you are on the other side of the argument and make a point of being rude to you. At other times, the person will be wondering what it is about, and ask you questions. Then when they find that you are one of those “anti-vaxxers”, they will be annoyed and let you know about it. Sometimes they want to argue with you. Sometimes they want a chat. Sometimes, surprisingly more times than what you might think, the recipient agrees with you and offers you encouragement. This is important because, not only are you encouraged, but also you can encourage them back, Maybe they have been feeling very alone in being sceptical. You confirm for them that there are others like them. You might also be able to persuade them to contact you again or get in touch with a local group of protestors.

It is the unpredictability of it and the randomness of people's views when you start talking to them that makes it such an enjoyable way to spend the time, as well as being worthwhile.

Why it is important to talk to people face to face

The majority of people have been convinced by overwhelming propaganda. Because of this, they are attached to their views at the emotional level. Because of the censorship the mainstream views are not often challenged. If they ever come across criticisms of the official Covid narrative they are usually dry rational arguments that do not hit the spot with them.

When you talk to them directly it can have a big impact.

Every face to face conversation between people has an emotional element so even if you are just discussing the pros and cons of any topic, when you are talking with people face to face emotions are involved.

So this is the best way we have at the moment to actually combat the propaganda that has been attaching itself inside people at their emotional level.

Just by daring to talk to people about the topic of Covid from a sceptical point of view you can loosen their convictions. By talking to them face to face you are engaging their emotions. Even things that you say very gently and quietly will hit them at the emotional level.

According to the narrative, “anti-vaxxers” are crazy, monstrously selfish people. Simply by calmly reasoning with them you can undermine that view. According to the narrative, people opposed to Vax passports are a tiny minority. Again, simply by talking to them you can show them that this is not true. You might also find that more people than you expect are on your side.

According to the narrative, we have to avoid social contact. Simply by engaging them in a normal face to face conversation you are undermining this.

Unless the conversation ends up in a blazing argument, every encounter undermines the Covid fear narrative.

So the more people we talk to face to face the better.

The aim of the exercise

The aim of the exercise of talking to people on the street should not be to convert them to the cause. This is a surefire recipe for complete and utter failure. We stand about as much chance of converting a convinced double-jabbed BBC watcher to be an “anti-vaxxer” as we would if we set about trying to convince a Mormon to convert to Buddhism. Do NOT aim for instant conversions.

The aim is simply a show of strength. If everybody stays silent while we are subjected to constant propaganda, then we are invisible. People then imagine that everyone who is “normal” is following the “science” except for a tiny minority of “anti-vaxxer” nuts whose arguments are so unconvincing they dare

not mention them in public.

By appearing on the streets and talking to them we demonstrate

1. We exist.
2. More people than they imagined oppose the authoritarian measures.
3. We are normal, reasonable people, not nuts or monsters.
4. We have valid reasons for believing what we do.
5. We are committed to our cause and prepared to defend it.

People have been brainwashed by constant propaganda. They have become attached to the implanted ideas at an emotional level. Rational and intellectual arguments do not have any impact. Information which they have not received from the official channels are dismissed as “misinformation”. The arguments that count are the ones at the emotional level.

By all means, if you get into conversation about the pros and cons of possible courses of action open to the government stay rational and present your information as coherently as possible. Just don't expect it to convince them. You just do it to keep the conversation going. All the time you are present in front of them the point is being silently made at the emotional level: “I am here. I disagree with you. There are many more like me. We are normal, sensible people. We are committed to our cause.”

The point of being on the street engaging with passers-by is to show a presence. It is a show of strength. It is like when two male animals are facing each other in the mating season. They make displays. If one animal appears to be too strong to be easily overcome his rival is discouraged from challenging him. It is a way to avoid unnecessary violence. A show of strength now might just be enough to stop measures being introduced later which will cause a lot of hardship and suffering.

So, when you are talking to people, don't get carried away by trying to win an argument. Let it go if the other person is getting too loud or persistent. Stay calm and remember the purpose of being there is to make a display. So, as well

as making an impression on the person who is standing before you who you are talking to, you are making an impression with every passer-by who sees you and sees what you are standing for. Let it be a good one.

The design of the leaflet

The design of the leaflet should support the aim of showing people who you are and what you stand for.

The aim is not to win any argument or convince people you are right. So it should not be too assertive. The fewer assertions the better in my opinion. Assertions cause arguments. Public arguments do not give a good impression. People who want to argue with you look for an assertion to attack. They invite trouble. You can be made to seem dogmatic and obstinate by having to defend an assertion. You want to give the opposite impression by making them seem cantankerous and argumentative.

Rather than assertions the leaflet should contain lots of questions. Questions are good for making people think. While they are thinking they are quiet. They are also good for waking up brainwashed minds. And you don't have to defend them.

For instance, if your leaflet contains the assertion "The Covid Vaccines don't work" then the person you are talking to could get on his high horse and insist that they do. You might then try to defend the assertion that they don't. The other person will just get more insistent. Before you know it you could be in a blazing row, the two of you combining to give passers-by a very bad impression of the "anti-vaxxers" who go around annoying people in the street.

Whereas, if your leaflet contains the question "Do the Vaccines work?" then a person you are talking to might ask you, "What are you trying to say? Do they work or not?" Obviously, they don't. But rather than answer with that bold assertion, you can say "Well, I think there is a lot of evidence to say that they don't. I think it is a matter for people to do their own research and decide for themselves whether they work or not before deciding whether or not to have the vaccine", and then you arrive at the crux of the matter, people's right to

have freedom of choice. But you have just posited it there like a suggestion rather than strongly asserted it.

Even though the questions on your leaflet might lead to a discussion in which you then state clearly your views as assertions, you have arrived at that discussion in a calmer manner than if you were defending a controversial statement from the start. This offers a better chance of having a friendly and reasonable conversation.

If you are going on the street to engage with people you definitely want to aim for a friendly and reasonable conversation rather than a blazing row.

A leaflet which I have been using which I have found helpful which is minimally confrontational is "Questions,Questions,Questions". It deliberately is just questions. There are no assertions, so there is nothing solid for them to argue against. The questions are densely packed and so difficult to read. It is designed more for artistic effect than readability. It is not meant to be read straight through. Questions can be picked out individually and pondered over. The purpose of this leaflet is to provoke thought and discussion rather than prove a case. It is also designed to give the impression of endless questions. For me, there is massive cognitive dissonance caused by the lies used by the Globalists to disguise their true intentions. None of their stories make sense. As we try to make sense of them, more and more questions spring to mind. This leaflet is also meant as an illustration of that effect.

You can find it at the end of this document.

How to approach people

We want to make a good impression on people when we are out in public so we should look presentable. Smart casual. Not a suit and tie. We are hoping to have relaxed conversations with people so we don't want them to get the impression that we are Jehovah's Witnesses or slick salespeople. They will be tense and guarded if they suspect that. Nor do we want appear too rough and casual and give the impression of a Del Boy market trader. In that case nothing we say will be taken seriously.

We want other people to relax, so we should be relaxed ourselves. After all, as I have already said, it is a fun thing to do as well as being worthwhile. Smile and be friendly, and be ready to laugh at any little misstep or misunderstanding that might occur. There's no need to make jokes. We are not entertainers. We just need to be our normal, relaxed, happy selves. A little bit of nervousness before you start is normal. Once you are doing it, you get absorbed in it and the nerves disappear.

We are aiming to be non-confrontational so people will be more ready to accept us. If they can accept us as people then their emotional attachment to the Covid dogma has already been loosened a little bit.

If you feel confident enough, you could wear a T-shirt with a statement that people will notice. I often wear my "NO VACCINE PASSES ANYWHERE" T-shirt while handing out leaflets. It does make people aware that you are what they consider to be an "anti-vaxxer" so more people will reject the leaflet you offer them. If you are shy or new to handing out leaflets it is probably best not to wear it the first time out until you feel confident at handling the rejection and taking it all in your stride.

I do not mind at all people looking at the T-shirt and telling me "No. I don't want your 'anti-vax cr*p' " or words to that effect. The aim is not to hand out as many leaflets as possible. The aim is to make people aware of us. If people have noticed that I am an "anti-vaxxer" relaxed and confident enough to be handing out my leaflets to people on my own without any fear, then the T-shirt has done the job of the leaflet. They have noticed me. And they have saved me the cost of the printing and paper by not taking it. Many of the leaflets end up on the floor or in the bin not very far from where they were picked up anyway. The job of the leaflet and the job of the T-shirt is exactly the same: Get us noticed.

Be ready for rejection. Most people you offer a leaflet to will refuse. That is perfectly normal. Don't take it to heart. Just thank them politely anyway and move on to the next person. If they make a snide comment don't answer back. Never be tempted to answer back. It will become habitual and it will destroy

your mood. You need to stay relaxed and humble and keep preparing to be friendly to the next person. If you get a string of rejections and you feel your energy slipping, take a moment. Take some deep breaths, relax. Have a flask handy so you can have a sip of coffee. Sit down if you need to. Do not approach the next person until you are relaxed enough to smile without having to force it.

Be patient and ready to chat if someone wants to. Some people will want to take up your time. Let them. Try and give the impression that you have all the time in the world. If it turns into a long aimless conversation, you can start offering leaflets to other people passing by while still talking to them. This lets them know you are busy without rudely giving them the brush off.

Not everybody who accepts a leaflet will want to stop and chat. Don't detain them. Some people will accept a leaflet while carrying on walking. Great. Just let say "thanks" and look for the next person. Some people will stop to accept the leaflet and look at it without saying anything. Wait a moment to give them a chance to say something, and if they don't, just say "I'll leave it with you then..." and move on. Don't interrupt their chain of thought. You don't want to come across as being too pushy. Be open to people but don't force yourself upon them.

How to deal with disagreement

Some people will want to discuss the material you have given them. They can see you are an "anti-vaxxer" and want to take issue with you. Great. You get two types. Those that want an argument (or just to put you down) and those that want a proper discussion. Whilst I enjoy a proper discussion I do not want to waste time in heated arguments so I need to quickly judge which way it is going to go.

If the person starts to be derogatory then obviously he is looking for an argument. Try and make the conversation as short as possible. Let him or her tell you off if they need to. Be as conciliatory as possible in your answers. As soon as possible say "well, let's agree to differ on this" and leave it at that. Keep quiet and wait for him or her to go. If they don't then move away and look

for the next person to hand a leaflet to. .

The people who want a proper discussion will usually ask questions and want you to express your point of view first. Then they will answer you with their rebuttal. If it is done in a friendly way then of course you can keep the conversation going and challenge their rebuttal. As long as you both find the conversation interesting and enjoyable carry on talking. There are, of course, those sneaky people who pretend they want a jolly good discussion but really want to shoot you down in flames. It will start off friendly enough but if they don't feel they are winning they will get more and more catty. Before they get a chance to be too obnoxious, get out while the going is good and agree to differ and move on. Don't get sucked into a gradually escalating argument.

By the way do not be offended if people call you an “anti-vaxxer”. By all means explain the difference between objecting to the vaccine and objecting to vax passports but do not expect them to always agree to recognise it. To a lot of people “anti-vaxxer” just means “non-compliant”. I don't mind being labelled as such because I regard it as my sacred duty not to comply. (Mahatma Gandhi: “Civil disobedience becomes a sacred duty when the state has become lawless or corrupt.”) I actually regard any label they come up with as a badge of honour. I am also a “conspiracy theorist” and I wear a tin-foil hat.(it's just that it's an invisible tin-foil hat so nobody can see it.) If anybody asks me if I am an “anti-vaxxer” I treat it as a joke. “Yes. I'm one of those monsters. Yes I'm a dreaded anti-vaxxer”.

How to deal with abuse

Be prepared for some people getting abusive. There are people who will be angry with you because you are not complying. Stay calm. You are getting your message across . If you weren't, the people who want to abuse you would not be bothering. So you have no need to raise your voice. Let them be the ones to raise their voice. If they start shouting and insulting you, wait until they have finished before replying calmly. If they get aggressive or too persistent walk away. There is no need to match them in their brutishness. Let them be the ones to embarrass themselves with their loss of control. If you are calmly taking abuse from an angry person in public, passers-by will be impressed.

Some may even be interested enough by what is happening to wait for the angry person to go away before approaching you to find out about what it is you are trying to promote. Of course, if you get angry and lose control when talking to a member of the public, the opposite applies. You will repel passers-by. Nothing could be more off-putting to people who might otherwise be sympathetic to your cause. The key is to always stay calm and polite whatever is thrown at you.

Encouraging supporters

You will come across people who agree with you and want to support you whenever you are handing out leaflets or even just out for a walk with the T-shirt on. Be ready for this. Give them a chance to get things off their chest.

After that ask them, "OK, so you are awake to what is going on. But what are you doing about it?"

This should lead to a discussion about action and the chance to encourage them to get out and about and maybe even doing some leafletting themselves.

Offer them your email address or social media contact details so you can stay in touch and exchange information. I usually just write my email address on the leaflet I give them. I don't have it printed on the leaflets because I don't want to encourage trolls. But I give my details to anyone who genuinely supports the opposition to the Globalist Agenda.

Influencing people on the street



INFLUENCING PEOPLE ON THE STREET

This article is a follow-up to my article: “Talking to people about the Globalist threat” in which I recommend that people take to the streets to hand out leaflets to oppose Vax passports and the rest of the Globalist Agenda, and I give tips on how to do it. If you haven't read that article I suggest you read it first.

This article is meant as a more in-depth look at the psychological messaging which is the real purpose of the exercise. It takes a deeper look at what it is we are trying to convey. It also shows how, whilst being in front of the public we can use psychological techniques that are known to work. Whilst I aim to give practical advice that is easy to apply, it helps if you know something of the science behind it.

What we are up against

As anybody who has tried to explain to a member of their family why they have not had the vaccine and come up against strident and aggressive disapproval knows, people do not want to listen to rational arguments. They have made up their minds and regard people who want to preserve our freedoms as selfish and dangerous “anti-vaxxers”. They have been brainwashed by constant fear propaganda and stopped from seeing the counter-arguments by censorship. The propaganda they use is based on psychological “nudge” techniques recommended by the Behavioural Scientists that sit on SAGE in the UK and equivalent advisory bodies in other countries. The techniques are well researched and they have had a devastating impact on the minds of the people. So we know they work.

To counter the psychological manipulation used by the Globalists, we must use psychological messaging of our own. They control the media, so we must reach people directly as they go about their business in the open. That means methods like fly posters, handing out leaflets, wearing T-shirts with messages, and talking to them face to face in the street.

But we must be careful how we do it. Most people have been so cleverly indoctrinated that they do not even realise it. Confronting them in the wrong way can lead to heated arguments that will give a bad impression and reinforce their impression of “anti-vaxxers” being bad people. We must use the correct techniques but, when we know what we are doing, they are easy to apply.

The difference between talking to someone you know well and a stranger on the street

When you talk to someone you know well, the approach is very different to talking to a stranger. There is history between you, they know your strengths and weaknesses, and there is emotional baggage in the form of lingering resentments for past injuries caused as well as any love and respect they have for you. If you broach a difficult subject with them, you can expect a long conversation and follow-up conversations. In fact, a whole series of conversations, unless you fall out with them so badly about it that you can't talk about it with them again. As well as their prejudices with regard to the subject matter you will be dealing with their long held prejudices about you.

If you can keep your cool when talking to a person who you are emotionally attached to, but has been brainwashed by the globalists, then hats off to you! You are a better man (or woman) than me! If you are like me, you will have had many failures, and some broken relationships because of it. The sad thing is that the propaganda and rules and restrictions that they have been imposing on us is deliberately designed to divide us and fracture our relationships. And, as we all know, their techniques work.

If you still need to persist with someone important to you and try to get them to understand your viewpoint then there is help at hand. There is a website called "Reaching People" which has many pages explaining what the barriers to meaningful conversation are and how to overcome them. I recommend you look at it before you next try having a deep conversation with someone who you know is going along with the Agenda.

But, the big difference is that you do not aim to have deep, meaningful conversations on the street. Especially with people who have indicated to you that they disagree with you. Quite the opposite. You need to keep the conversation short and agree to disagree as soon as possible.

The reason you are on the street handing out leaflets is not to convert people to your cause. If you try that you will fail spectacularly.

The reason for being on the street is, first and foremost, just to show yourself and to show that you are opposing the taking away of your freedoms. By showing yourself in this way you are sending a powerful psychological message to everyone who sees you. That is enough to aim for. If you do it well and make a good impression on by-standers you will be doing an invaluable service to your fellow man. This is all each of us needs to do.

Handing out leaflets as psychological messaging

In "Talking to People about the Globalist Agenda" I make the point that rational argument does not work with people because they have become attached to the ideas implanted by the propaganda at an emotional level. To combat this we need to meet them on the streets face to face because every actual encounter with another human being involves emotion. Simply by appearing in front of them and making known your opposition is sending them a psychological message that hits home.

Even just wearing a T-shirt has an effect. When I offer a leaflet to someone, quite often as I do so, I can see them reading the message on my T-shirt. Then they refuse the leaflet because they don't want my "anti-vaxxer" rubbish. This delights me. It is a significant victory for our cause. Even though the person has rejected me because of the ideas implanted by the brainwashing, they have actually digested a message aimed at their emotional level. Even though in their rational brain they are telling themselves "I disagree with this", in their emotional brain they are experiencing fear and confusion because they are encountering a message which is at odds with their newly established version of reality.

All the repeated messages of the propaganda and the psychological programming cleverly designed by the Behavioural Scientists has established in this person the idea that all sensible people are following the "Science". Now they are encountering a person who isn't. This hits them emotionally. That is why people often get tetchy and make the "anti-vaxxer" comment. It is a knee-jerk emotional reaction. Once that reaction is invoked the emotional brain tells the rational brain to regard me as an enemy and block me. So it is impossible to have a meaningful conversation with the person. This would be very bad if I was aiming to convert them to my cause. But I am not. I have already delivered the psychological message that there are people who are opposed to the official line. A message that, because it is at the emotional level, cannot be stopped by the rational brain. It always gets through.

The reason the person will have even stopped when encountering me is that as I offered them the leaflet I was friendly and smiling so they paid attention to me. Then their curiosity was piqued when they noticed there was a message on my T-shirt. There is already a feeling of cognitive dissonance being invoked in them because already they suspect that I am an "anti-vaxxer" but I seem normal and friendly which is contrary to the caricature of the "anti-vaxxer" that has been implanted by the propaganda. They take the time to read the message because they want to confirm that I actually am an "anti-vaxxer". Having done so, they have received the psychological message about the existence of opposition and helplessly reacted in the knee-jerk manner invoked in their animal brain.

Their reaction is the attempt by their hijacked thought processes to maintain their sense of self by resolving the cognitive dissonance. If I react to their

comment by appearing offended by it or making a comment back they will be able to resolve their cognitive dissonance by confirming to themselves that “anti-vaxxers” are indeed nasty people. It will be a relief to them and they will happily go on their way and I will be left feeling annoyed with myself because I have failed.

However all I have to do is continue to be relaxed and smiling and they are prevented from resolving the cognitive dissonance. Then they will go on their way feeling uncomfortable with themselves because they will be feeling bad about losing their control and being snappy with someone who was being pleasant with them. They will be rationalising it to themselves by telling themselves that they did the right thing because it was an “anti-vaxxer”. But all the time their feeling of cognitive dissonance will be increasing because in their heart of hearts they know that the idea about “anti-vaxxers” being monsters is untrue.

Although the encounter has left the person feeling uncomfortable, it has actually achieved something. The feeling of cognitive dissonance is the person's natural defence against lies and propaganda. It is like their natural immunity to a virus. The disease is in their brain in the form of the lies implanted by the propaganda but their cognitive dissonance is fighting it. All the psychological messages that we send that increase their cognitive dissonance help them to fight the propaganda inside their minds. They will recover from the disease when they “wake up” to the reality of what is being done to them by all those institutions that they once trusted.

Of course not everybody rudely refuses the leaflet or calls you an “anti-vaxxer”. This is just one of a range of different responses that you will get when you offer people leaflets. I have covered some of the others in “Talking to people about the Globalist Agenda”. Some people will disagree and want to talk to you about it. Others will have already woken up to the way our freedoms are being taken from us and will be happy to meet someone doing something to oppose it. Others will accept the leaflet but it will be hard to tell whether they are supportive or not. Maybe they haven't made their minds up. The unpredictability of how people respond is one of the things that make it such an enjoyable way to spend time as well as it being worthwhile.

I have explored in depth here the rude refusal to illustrate that even when you are being rejected you are doing a lot of good. So don't let the refusals get you

down. It is probably when you have offered the leaflet to someone and they have rudely rejected it that you have done the most good. These are the people who are most in need of seeing that there is opposition to the restrictions. You have brought it to their attention.

Merely by appearing on the street and showing opposition we are countering the propaganda with powerful messages of our own. Although we do not have the blanket coverage of the media, our messages are more powerful because they are person to person. Person to person encounters invoke emotions which are far more powerful than the subtle psychological nudges of the media. That is why they have to keep repeating advertising to make it sink in. Each single instant of the message is not that impressive. It is just by constantly barraging us with the same repeated message that it gets through. Whereas encounters with another person face to face can leave an impression that lasts. Some chance one-off encounters with strangers you remember for the rest of your lives. That is how powerful they can be. The tool is in our hands. We just have to use it wisely.

Besides which, it only takes a single message that is out of tune with the others to ring alarm bells. The propagandists have implanted multiple messages in people's minds: "Covid is a killer", "it can be passed on even if you aren't showing symptoms", "You need to be vaccinated", etc. Their messages have been accepted by the majority of people, even though they are all very dubious. They are accepted because they are backed up by authority, repeated constantly, and seem to have the approval of the overwhelming majority. But it only takes one message to be rejected by a person and that person will start questioning everything else they have been told. Plant a seed of doubt in someone's mind and then they will question everything.

A single message getting through to people from our side will call into question the whole elaborate house of cards they have constructed. One successful message from us will defeat one hundred of their messages. We only have to plant the seed of doubt.

Turning the tide

In his article "The emperor has no clothes: finding the courage to break the spell", Julius Ruechel encourages people to come out of hiding and show their

opposition, making the case that there are enough of us already to turn the tide of public opinion against the Globalists, if only we would all show ourselves.

He points out that many people are supporting the ever-increasing restrictions simply because they are going along with the crowd. This seems like an insurmountable barrier when you talk to people individually and try to convince them to convert to your point of view. They won't budge and some even refuse to listen to you just because they want to be the same as everyone else. But it is actually a fatal weakness. It means that if you can influence enough people to shatter the illusion of consensus then the crowd support from all these waverers in the middle disappears.

According to research done by the Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute in New York in 2011, it takes only 10% of the population to hold firmly to an opinion and to publicly endorse it to be able to influence the majority of the population to adopt it. This is known as "The 10% rule" and it is why the Globalists have been so ruthless with their censorship and smearing of outspoken opponents. They are scared that if the public perceive that there is a convinced minority of 10% or more in opposition to the Agenda then they will be open to changing their point of view.

Ruechel argues that probably far more than 10% are already privately convinced about the Globalist Agenda but do not talk about it in public. So we have more than the 10% needed already. It is a matter of coming out of hiding. Once the uncommitted majority can see us they will quickly be persuaded to trust their own instincts rather than going along with the obvious lies that are causing them so much cognitive dissonance.

All we have to do is show ourselves.

Play to the crowd

When deciding to do something and setting off to hand out leaflets and talk to people we can set ourselves up for failure by trying to do too much. We can think that we have to convince people that everything they believe is wrong. We can put enormous pressure on ourselves. It is an impossible ask. If we burden ourselves with this load we will fail badly and have a very unpleasant time. We will not even be able to smile and be pleasant with people if we think that we are going to fail. We won't even get past the first hurdle.

But remember, we only have to plant the seed of doubt. All you have to do is appear on the streets to invoke the cognitive dissonance. Because of this cognitive dissonance, our success in the end is guaranteed. Knowing this takes all the pressure off. Now you can relax. You don't have to make a single convert. It doesn't matter if anybody takes your leaflet or not. All you have to do is enjoy the fresh air while as many people as possible see that you are “off message”. Relax, smile, and enjoy. It is an Open Air Performance. The leaflets are merely props. The T-shirt is your costume. Play to the gallery. Enjoy the audience reaction. Listen to them trot out the hackneyed phrases. The whole time they are being won over effortlessly and they don't even realise it.

It is not just the Globalists who can play the psychological game. We outnumber them and can do it better. We can use the power of person to person contact. Relax, smile, and be confident. We are going to win in the end.

Background information

How to handle the longer conversations:

The Reaching People website <<https://reachingpeople.net>>

Cognitive Dissonance:

A lesson in Cognitive Dissonance <<https://youtu.be/korGK0yGIDo>>

the Ash Conformity experiment <<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NyDDyT1IDhA>>

Julius Ruechel “The emperor has no clothes: Finding the courage to break the Spell”:

<<https://www.juliusruechel.com/2021/07/the-emperor-has-no-clothes-finding.html>>

The 10% rule (Rensselaer Polytechnic

Institute):<<https://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2011/07/110725190044.htm>

>